

**Pleasant Grove City Council Work Session Minutes
October 13, 2009
6:00 p.m.**

PRESENT:

Mayor:

Michael W. Daniels

City Council Members:

Mark K. Atwood

Cindy Boyd

Bruce Call

Lee G. Jensen

Jeffrey D. Wilson

Excused:

Gary Clay, Finance Director

Tom Paul, Police Chief

City Recorder:

Kathy T. Kresser, City Recorder

Colleen A. Mulvey, Deputy Recorder

Others:

Frank Mills, City Administrator

Tina Petersen, City Attorney

Ken Young, Comm. Dev. Director

Richard Bradford, Economic Dev. Director

Deon Giles, Leis. Services Director

Lynn Walker, Public Works Director

Marc Sanderson, Fire Chief

Mike Smith, Police Captain

Degen Lewis, City Engineer

Karen Bezzant, Treasurer

Libby Flegal, NAB Chair

The City Council Members and staff met in the City Council Chambers at 86 East 100 South, Pleasant Grove, Utah 84062 at 6:00 p.m.

1. Call to Order

Mayor Daniels called roll for the Council and noted that Council Members Atwood, Boyd, Call, Jensen and Wilson were present.

2. Opening Remarks

Opening Remarks were given by Administrator Mills.

3. Leon Harward to do a presentation on the proposed bridge across Utah Lake

Mayor Daniels said that he was introduced to Mr. Harward a couple of months ago and got some

very good information on a project that he has been working on for quite some time and that he thought that it would be a good idea for him to present it to the Council.

Mr. Harward introduced himself stating that he is the Officer of the Utah Crossing, Inc. and they have been working on the proposed bridge across Utah Lake. The process started with talk of a causeway across the lake and the impact that it would have and then when the price of gas went up to around four dollars a gallon, the idea of a bridge made more sense. As we looked into the issues involved in the crossing, we decided that a bridge was the only way to go. Mr. Harward explained that the management group of Utah Crossing has more than sixty years of State development and related experience and that Figg Engineering is the company that they have teamed up with to design the bridge. Figg Engineering has over thirty years of bridge design experience in thirty eight states and four countries and they have received several prestigious awards for the design of environmental friendly bridges.

Mr. Harward stated that they try to get as much input from the communities involved as they can to find out what their desires would be as far as the design, so that it is not intrusive but an attractive design. The bridge will connect Saratoga Springs to Vineyard, it will be about six miles long and they will design, build, operate, maintain, finance and own the bridge. Mr. Harward stated that there are no City, State or Federal funds involved whatsoever, there is no risk to the State or any municipality. The bridge will be open to traffic in approximately two years and believes that this will be a true stimulus package; they are not using any government money, it is all private funds and they will be using all local labor and local materials in the construction. They will build a sustainable, eco-friendly, high strength, safe bridge and the bridge life will be ninety nine years. There will be two bridges built, the first bridge will have two lanes of traffic, one in each direction with a walkway/pedestrian path, the second one when traffic matures will be built with the possibility of three traffic lanes in each direction. Mr. Harward pointed out that on 800 North at Geneva Road there is a transportation hub and the Utah Transportation Department has been supportive of this project because it will bring a lot of traffic from the west side of the lake to their transportation system.

Mayor Daniels asked if he could explain what approval processes that they have gone through. Mr. Harward stated that they have been promised from the Corp of Engineers, that they do not have to have an environmental impact study done and will not take jurisdiction on what they are doing. Mr. Harward said that they have made an application to the State for the special use permit and that is still in the conversation stage. Mr. Harward invited supporters to attend the upcoming public meeting of the Utah Lake Commission on October 29th at 6:00 p.m. and there will also be another public meeting on November 11th at Saratoga Shores Elementary School at 6:00 p.m.

Council Member Call asked what the anticipated tolls would be. Mr. Harward said that they would be about the cost of a gallon of gas. Council Member Jensen asked if they will offer some sort of monthly pass. Mr. Harward said that there would be, everything is electronically tolled, similar to the toll road in Ogden and the HOV lanes on I-15. Mr. Harward stated that they are trying to take the lead here in working with the State in that every toll road or bridge will be integrated with the State Transcam Project, so that the consumer can buy a pass and use it for any toll road within the State. Council Member Jensen then inquired about law enforcement on the bridge, since this is a private venture. Mr. Harward said that they will either contract with the County or have Vineyard and Saratoga Springs Police Departments working in concert with policing the bridge. Council Member Jensen asked what triggers the second phase of the bridge and are there any vehicle restrictions. Mr. Harward stated that when the first one gets crowded, then they will start on the second one and it should take approximately eighteen months to build. Mr. Harward said that if a vehicle has rubber tires it will be allowed on the bridge.

Mayor Daniels stated that Council Member Atwood is the representative on the Utah Lake Commission and will be asked at the meeting at the end of the month whether we will show support or not and then asked what the Council's thoughts are on this item.

Mr. Harward added that they would appreciate a Resolution from the Council if they could get one; they have been given one from Eagle Mountain and also expect one from Vineyard.

The consensus of the Council was that this is a great project. Mayor Daniels instructed Attorney Petersen to prepare a Resolution expressing support. Attorney Petersen indicated that she would.

Mayor Daniels thanked Mr. Harward for his presentation.

4. ARC to present the top ten applicants for the City Administrative Position to Council

The Mayor turned the time over to Paul Dean of the Application Review Committee (ARC) to review the information that they have come up with regarding the City Administrator's position.

Mr. Dean began by explaining that they have completed the process and handed out to the Council a list of the top ten applicants that they have screened and interviewed. The list indicates the scores and ranking of their application and their initial interview. Mr. Dean added that they have not yet contacted these individuals to inform them of these rankings. Mr. Dean pointed out some characteristics of these top ten applicants; nine of them have Masters of Public Administration Degrees, eight have been City Managers and two have been Assistant City Managers, the average years of work experience is twenty three years and they range from four different states.

Mr. Dean said that he has tried to answer the questions previously forwarded to committee and stated that he is here tonight to mainly answer any other questions the Council may have.

Mayor Daniels interjected and asked the Council how much of this information they were ready to deliver out to the public. Council Member Boyd asked if he was referring to the applicants names. The Mayor said that the names as well as any of the other information. Council Member Call said that the names would be okay but not the scores. Council Member Jensen stated that the first three characteristics were okay to reveal. The Mayor then asked about the questions that were forwarded from the Council. Council Member Call and Boyd both said that they felt that that information was only for the Council.

Mayor Daniels then said that this is a public meeting, so it is understood now that any questions we ask, the public will be privy to.

Council Member Jensen asked in regards to the applicant's experience, what were the longest amount and the shortest number of year's experience. Mr. Dean stated that the most was thirty one years of experience and the least was seven and a half years.

Council Member Call mentioned that we will need to have access to these individuals' resumes. Mr. Dean stated that he has copies of the top ten applicant's resumes for the Council. Council Member Call then expressed that he would like to hear about the ARC's experience of going through this process.

Mr. Dean stated that this project has kept them very busy, but that it was a very good experience and they did learn a lot. Mr. Dean said that it was mainly the interviewing process that he felt was a good learning experience in that most of his experience has come on the other end of the interview, so to

be the one interviewing has changed their perspective on how they would answer interview questions. Mr. Dean said that everyone they interviewed was very competent and very qualified for this position, so that made it a difficult choice, but they did gain a lot of experience from this process that it will definitely help them in the future.

Mr. Dean went on to explain more about the documents presented to Council, stating that they list the characteristics of each applicant, it answers that questions posed by the Council and then there is a brief overview of the process that they went through. Also included are some guidelines for interviewing some suggested questions and the actual questions that they asked the applicants with the scoring page and sample contracts. Mr. Dean said that he has all of their notes from the interviews, the scoring table, and the resumes of the top ten applicants.

Council Member Boyd asked if there was any discussion with the out of state applicants about the amount of times they would be required to come out here to interview. Mr. Dean stated that there was and that some of the individuals were a little hesitant; but that it is standard practice that when you are hiring a City Manager that the City pays for the expenses of bringing the individuals in for the interviews. Mr. Dean stated that he does recommend that they interview the top ten applicants and then narrow it down to the top three. Council Member Jensen pointed out that the report shows that there were some telephone interviews conducted and asked how many of the top ten applicants did not have a face to face interview. Mr. Dean stated that out of the top ten, it was the four applicants who live out of state who did not have the face to face interview with the ARC. Mr. Dean added that in his opinion it is better to interview in person and have the face to face interaction.

Council Member Boyd asked what kind of follow up we are giving to the other forty something applicants. Attorney Petersen stated that the standard procedure is to send a letter out to those applicants. Council Member Boyd then asked if any of these applicants know their status yet. Mr. Dean said that they do not know. Council Member Boyd asked if they had told any of these applicants a time that we would be interviewing. Mr. Dean said that he told them that all of that was up to the Council and that the Council would be contacting them with the next step of the interviewing process.

Mayor Daniels asked if the ARC had interviewed any of the applicants besides the top ten. Mr. Dean stated that they had, that after reviewing all of the applications, they saw that there was a large gap between the sixteenth and seventeenth applicant so they decided to interview only the top sixteen.

Council Member Call asked if any members of the ARC were interested in being further involved, at least as observers, in the process at this point. Mr. Dean said that he would be interested and possibly a couple of others.

Council Member Jensen expressed thanks to Mr. Dean and his team from the Council for their time and efforts in this process.

Council Member Call added that we all hope that this experience was beneficial and this did add something to their education. Mr. Dean stated that he feels it did give valuable experience to everyone who participated in the process.

5. Council to discuss next steps in the City Administrator selection process

Mayor Daniels stated that some of the discussion for the next step in this selection process would be to narrow the list of applicants either prior to or after the General Election and asked the Council their thoughts on this.

Council Member Jensen stated that he would like to see us follow the original guidelines, where we set up the initial interview with all ten candidates sometime from now until the last week of October and narrow it down to three or four candidates and then have them come in to be interviewed again following the election, involving any of the new leadership.

Council Member Call stated that he was fine with either way, whether we hold off the interviews until after the election or do the initial round before it.

Council Member Boyd said that timing wise, it would be well to do the interviewing as we originally planned, that will allow us enough time to get this all done.

Mayor Daniels asked what day the Council would like to start interviewing.

Council Members Atwood and Wilson indicated that we should give the applicants some notice to prepare, at least a week.

Council Member Jensen added that he does not think that any of these interviews should be done over the phone.

Council Member Call stated that one of the things that we discussed was having the applicants make a presentation of a scenario. If that is what we are asking then we need to be very specific in what our scenario is when we give them notice of what their interview time is, to make sure that they have enough time to prepare.

Mayor Daniels then suggested that we should first decide what the case scenario is that we will ask of these applicants. Council Member Call asked if the staff had any suggestions of a scenario that they felt would challenge a City Administrator.

Attorney Petersen said that we did discuss using the scenario of an issue with another governmental entity where we had to make a few contacts to find out what the issue was and then persuade them to do things our way.

Administrator Mills stated that there have been several scenarios that we have had on that such as the one with Cedar Hills on boundary adjustments. Another excellent one is whether the City should contract with outside agencies for law enforcement and fire protection or whether they ought to do it on their own. Another could be with the situation of the Fox Hollow Golf Course and how the water issue is going to be handled, whether the Cities invest themselves, or are they going to lease it. Or another one would be on acquiring the right of way to put a roadway in place.

Council Member Boyd suggested having them present a scenario that has something to do with

budgeting.

Attorney Petersen then suggested the scenario of forming a Special Service District that would involve other governmental agencies and budget issues.

Council Member Jensen mentioned that using the TSSD and the issues involving the developers and the steps they were going to be taking as well as the attitudes of some of the cities versus the cities and citizens that will be impacted by it, would be a good scenario.

Council Member Call stated of all the scenarios brought up, the one that appeals to him the most would be the one of the possible formation of the Special Service District because it touches on a lot of different aspects.

Mayor Daniels summarized that what has been mentioned so far is Public Safety, Special Service District, the Fox Hollow water rights issue, the TSSD mitigation, something to do with budget and roadway projects.

Council Member Call stated that what we are looking for from the applicant is a presentation as far as how they would proceed, what steps they would take and in what order, or having them flowchart the whole thing.

Mayor Daniels said that on the subject of the budget that he would be very interested in hearing how they would manage in these tough economic times when it comes to all of the services that we provide. Council Member Call asked if the Mayor was thinking of this as a presentation or just a question to be asked. Mayor Daniels stated that on any of these subjects, asking them to actually solve our problem is unfair, because they are not in the situation and they will not have all of the details that we are currently aware of, but it would be an opportunity for them to express their process of thinking as to how they would go about it. We would find out very quickly as to their methods of working with other agencies and working with inside departments, engaging the public, working with elected officials and whichever scenario we choose, what we are looking for is what does this individual bring to the table as far as helping this City identify its core issues and work with all the constituents to solve those problems.

Council Member Jensen stated that rather than give them a specific case, have them present an experience that they have had and then outline and take us through the process that took place, this will allow them to speak more specific to something that they would be more knowledgeable about, give them the elements rather than the scenario.

Mayor Daniels clarified that it would then be a self chosen scenario that they have been through or that they choose to speak about that demonstrates how they would deal with the issue and interact with all of the different entities. So it's either a self chosen one and or one of the scenarios that we have suggested as possibilities. Council Member Jensen added that we ought to set some type of timeframe for the presentation.

Mayor Daniels stated that when he looks at interviews like this for a senior management role, what he looks for is a depth of experience that the individual brings to the table and can transfer those

skills into our scenario as opposed to a predetermined thought as to what the solution should be. That would allow for the variability, for the creativity, it allows for the interaction. We are interested in how this individual approaches problems, works with people, uses the skill sets of all of the different entities involved, negotiates and manages to bring about a successful solution.

Council Member Call asked then which mode is favorable. The Mayor said that we can give the applicant their choice, and that he likes the idea of letting them choose a self identified project because an experienced individual should have three or four of these examples.

Council Member Boyd made a suggestion having the applicants explain an example of something that didn't work for them and what they learned from the experience.

Council Member Call stated that he would like to see a presentation from each applicant rather than just have them answer questions.

Mayor Daniels next acknowledged the public here, that they may have some concerns and let Judge McDade who had raised his hand go ahead with his question. Judge McDade asked about the next process that they were talking about and who is going to be involved in that, would it just be the Council Members and the Mayor because some of the questions you are contemplating are things that the Council Members may not even have solutions to. Judge McDade pointed out that the Mayor and Administrator Mills are much more closely involved with these situations, so if they are going to ask these types of questions, what does the Council really know about the answer or the solution. Judge McDade said that when you are interviewing, you will want to know something that you have an idea or solution for. Mayor Daniels remarked that the process by which we go through is actually what we are here to discuss tonight, we had agreed to put those types of questions off until after we had heard the ARC's presentation. Council Member Boyd added that it was decided that Administrator Mills and the Department Heads will be present and involved with the presentations and interviews.

Council Member Call said that he agrees with Judge McDade, stating that we may not know all of the correct answers but that he is more interested in seeing the process that the individual will take, how do they think, what steps would they take and in what order, how would they react and who would they involve in order to solve the issue.

Council Member Jensen added that we are also interested in getting some ideas of their communication skills, how they present things.

Mayor Daniels then suggested that for the top ten applicants because they have already been through a paper screening process and some form of an interview and they already have a ranking assigned to them, we could take the scenario approach and give them thirty minutes to present and speak about their own experiences with anyone of these types of scenarios. We will then be looking at all of these different types of criteria, their management style, their identification and utilization of staff, the resources that they have at their disposal, the creative methods and interaction with Federal and State agencies, how they engage the public and with this thirty minute presentation they will also have the opportunity to demonstrate their public speaking capabilities. Mayor Daniels added that it is hard to present something for thirty minutes so if the individual does not know about the subject that they

are talking about, it would be a very short presentation or the individual may lack one or two of the fundamental skills that we are looking for in the applicant. Mayor Daniels said that if we structured the first set of interviews around this type of presentation, we would have a pretty good feel for our top three or four candidates. We will have the opportunity to ask questions at the end but this will leave it up to the individual to come in and demonstrate using their own experience in solving a tough problem. The Mayor added that he feels that giving these applicants seven days to prepare their presentation would be plenty of time for them to pull their materials together.

Council Member Jensen said that on the second set of interviews with the final three or four applicants, they will be different than the first round so we will need to have some idea of what we want for each so that we are not repeating. Mayor Daniels said that the thirty minute presentation is very different than the question and answer process and it is very appropriate for an individual to come in and have that amount of time to sell themselves and then if they do get a call back, at that point we will be trying to see which candidate is the best fit.

Mayor Daniels summarized that this process would include a self directed thirty minute presentation by the applicants, we posed for them the kinds of things that we are looking for them to demonstrate, at that point we can ask questions for clarification but not necessarily to give feed back or solicit something that they just don't have, and then after that we reconvene and decide how we pare it down from there. The Mayor said that he feels that it is reasonable to have the applicants come in and for us to arrange or to reimburse them for the travel expenses and would like to get the Council's opinion on that. Mayor Daniels added that this is a very important position and we need to not lose the opportunity to see somebody in action because they cannot afford the travel expense. The general consensus of the Council is that they are in favor of covering the travel expenses.

Council Member Atwood stated that he feels that we should check all of their references before we have them come in. Mr. Dean stated that they have already started doing the reference checks on the top ten applicants. Mayor Daniels asked Mr. Dean to pass along that information to City Recorder Kresser. Mr. Dean indicated that he would.

Mayor Daniels asked the Council if next week starting on Wednesday, the 21st, would they be available for interviewing. The Council indicated that they were. The Mayor asked the Council Members to give City Recorder Kresser their calendar so that she can start scheduling the interviews. Mayor Daniels then asked if these presentations will be held in public or private meetings. The Mayor and Council agreed to have them open to the public. The Mayor asked if the screening and interviewing of the final three or four applicants would be postponed until after the election. The Council indicated that they were in agreement with that.

Council Member Jensen asked that on the notification to these applicants, City Recorder Kresser will be doing that, so are we giving direction to her on what to tell the applicants with respect to their presentations so that she is saying the same thing to all of them? Mayor Daniels stated that he will type up his summary of our discussion and thoughts and will get that to her and copy it to the Council by tomorrow morning, she will also be getting the feedback from Mr. Dean on the reference checks, and unless there are any changes, she can begin scheduling the appointments. Council Member Jensen then said that he anticipates that the applicants will have questions for us such as salary, relocation, etc., and how are we going to address these types of questions during the time they

are allotted to make their presentation to us. Mayor Daniels stated that these questions do need to be discussed and the more appropriate time would be in the follow up interview which will be held in a non-public setting. Council Member Jensen inquired as to what would happen if they were to ask those questions when City Recorder Kresser is talking with them. Mayor Daniels stated that she does not have that information and from what he recalls, the Council has not even decided those issues yet. The Mayor added that after you have narrowed the choice down to your top three, you will have a very clear picture of what the requirements are, the candidates will have absolute requirements for a certain salary, and if relocation is involved, and contracts, etc. Council Member Jensen said that the most basic question here is when are we looking to have this person come aboard. Mayor Daniels stated that if it is the correct candidate, then we are pretty much going to fit this around that candidate, so that really depends upon the individual.

Director Giles asked if the Directors would be able to review the criteria and questions set forth by the Council beforehand so that we know what is expected. The Mayor asked the Council if they were okay with that. The Council indicated that they were. Mayor Daniels added that if the staff had some criteria that has not been included, that they should forward that on to him so that he can provide it to the Council.

Mayor Daniels then asked Mr. Dean if he had any further comments, guidance or suggestions from the ARC. Mr. Dean said none right now, but that if there is any he will email them to City Recorder Kresser. Mr. Dean stated that if the Council had any further questions, to please feel free to contact him throughout this process.

Mayor Daniels asked if there were any other comments or questions on the process as it has been defined so far. There were none. The Mayor said that he would summarize the discussion on this process and get it out to the Council.

Council Member Jensen wanted to clarify if the second round of interviews would be taking place immediately following the election or would it be the week after. The Mayor asked the Council what they would prefer. Council Member Boyd said that she will probably not be available that week. The Mayor then said that we should wait until after the canvass of the votes at the November 10th meeting and then we can schedule the next round of interviews.

6. Discussion of item for the upcoming October 20, 2009 City Council meeting

The Mayor then read the items of business from the agenda.

- a. To consider appointment of Jeri Misdorn as new Library Board Member**
- b. Todd Jensen, Department Project Director for UDOT, to update the Council on the 1-15 Interchange progress**
- c. To consider Dale Warburton's request on behalf of the Reva Frampton Trust, for the final plat approval for a project called Reva Frampton Subdivision, comprising two (2) lots, located at 10 North 700 East, in the R1-7 (Single Family Residential) Zone (MONKEY TOWN NEIGHBORHOOD)**

Director Young explained that this is a simple subdivision and we are just taking one large lot and dividing it into two.

d. To consider Madelyn Knudsen's request for a road dedication plat to be call Knudsen Road Dedication – A Record of Survey Map, located at 350 East 600 South in the RM-7 (Medium Multiple Residential) Zone (STRING TOWN NEIGHBORHOOD)

Director Young said that this involves taking the roadway and dedicating it in front of the property and a plat will be coming in the future as it develops.

Mayor Daniels stated that he would like to add an Executive Session to next week's meeting.

7. Mayor, City Council and Staff Business

- Director Giles reported that the hydro seeding project is complete at Manila Creek Park.
- Director Walker reported that the work continues on the Booster Tank, they are pouring the concrete this week.
- Chief Sanderson mentioned that this Saturday morning the Fire Department will be hosting a Harley Davidson Memorial Fire Department Ride. They anticipate anywhere from three to five hundred motorcycles, starting at the Lindon Harley Davidson dealership and riding up Geneva Road. Chief Sanderson said that they will close off 100 South to park the bikes and then do a twenty minute tour of our Fire Station, then they will move on to other jurisdictions and make a run through the Alpine Loop and end up at the Utah Fire and Rescue Academy in Provo.
- City Recorder Kresser mentioned that early voting begins next week on the 20th and the General Election will be held on November 3rd.
- Attorney Petersen stated that she needs to add an Executive Session to the meeting next week regarding pending litigation.
- Administrator Mills reported that the individual mailers for the recycling program will be sent out within the next week. The Amfax system telephone announcement on the recycling program will go out on the last week of the month and the announcement of the reminder to vote in the General Election will also go out that same week.
- Council Member Jensen stated that he would like to make a recommendation regarding the recycling program, the concern is that it is coming on to the citizens so quickly that we might want to consider extending the November 16th deadline for opting out to February 16th. This would give more time with the next two utility bills that go out and we can include more information for the process of opting out and include some common questions and answers and the list of items that can be recycled. Council Member Jensen said that he

would like to avoid the feeling of the community that this is being thrown at them without sufficient time to decide if they choose to be involved in the program.

Mayor Daniels asked City Recorder Kresser to put this discussion item on the agenda for next week.

- Council Member Boyd reported that she has also been getting calls from residents on the recycling program that the timeframe for opting out is happening too quickly, the perception is that we are not giving them enough time to make their choice.
- Council Member Wilson mentioned that he feels that we ought to change making the recycling program mandatory to new residents, stating that he does not agree with doing that.

Council Member Call added that while he was at City Hall today, he discovered that the staff is learning things about this program that we haven't quite figured out or anticipated.

Council Member Boyd suggested that the staff could make a list of these issues before the next meeting.

Mayor Daniels suggested inviting someone from American Fork City to come present to us because this seems to be following exactly what they went through and we can learn from their experience some of the issues that they dealt with. The Mayor then said that we should extend the opt out deadline and asked City Recorder Kresser to contact American Fork City and invite someone to come and make a presentation of what they dealt with.

- Mayor Daniels stated that for the North Point Solid Waste, Council Member Atwood is the representative and due to conflicts with scheduling he can no longer attend so we need to assign someone else to go represent us. Council Member Jensen stated that he would be happy to fill in temporarily but that at the first of the year with the change of leadership we can assign that to someone else then.

Mayor Daniels reported that we sent out emails to about two thousand residents regarding people's property rights and the campaign signs and we have received some feedback. The feedback has been positive and people are just not aware of what their own rights are with respect to where signs can be located, etc., and some of the candidates were actually contacted from property owners and discussed the issue. The Mayor asked if anyone else had gotten any feedback on this. There was none.

Mayor Daniels mentioned that on next Thursday, October 22nd, there is a Meet the Candidates Night at the Library at 7:00 p.m., sponsored by the Library Board. Also the PGBA will be sponsoring a second Meet the Candidates Night, they are working on that now, the format will be different and Steve Patton will get back to us with the date, place and time.

8. Signing of Plats

The Mayor and Council signed the Martha's Vineyard Subdivision Plat.

In that there was no other business to discuss, the Mayor then asked for a motion to adjourn.

ACTION: At 7:55 p.m. Council Member Wilson moved to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Atwood seconded and the motion passed unanimously with Council Members Atwood, Boyd, Call, Jensen and Wilson voting, "Aye."

9. Adjourn

Meeting adjourned at 7:55 p.m.

This certifies that the Work Session
Minutes of October 13, 2009 are a true,
full and correct copy as approved
by the City Council on January 19, 2010.

Colleen A Mulvey, Deputy City Recorder